SEEP: Scalable and Elastic Event Processing

Matteo Migliavacca, Ioannis Papagiannis, Peter Pietzuch Imperial College

UNIVERSITY OF

CAMBRIDGE

migliava@doc.ic.ac.uk

ip108@doc.ic.ac.uk

prp@doc.ic.ac.uk

London

David Eyers, Jean Bacon David.Eyers@cl.cam.ac.uk Jean.Bacon@cl.cam.ac.uk

Background

Workload Characteristics

Existing usage of large-scale services has peaks and troughs

Brian Shand

Brian Shand@cbcu.nhs.uk

Significant scope for improvement for elasticity and adaptability



Adapted from "Sierra: a power-proportional, distributed storage system", Eno Thereska, Austin Donnelly, and Dushyanth Narayanan. MSR-TR-2009-153, November 2009

SEEP Architecture

Motivation

- Continuous streams of event data occur in many applications
 - Healthcare, e-Government, e-Business, fraud detection, and logistics
- Existing analysis systems have generally focused on off-line analysis
 - Event based systems analyse data in real-time
- Centralised event-processing systems are reaching their limits

Open challenge: facilitate scaling up to thousands of machines in a cloud computing setting

Goals

- 1. **Scalability**: Develop architecture for event processing at cloud scale
- 2. Elasticity: Ensure that the deployed scale can change dynamically
- 3. Adaptability: Dynamic tuning of processing quality and speed or cost



Publish/subscribe layer

- Incoming event streams broadcast to P/S layer nodes
 Large number of matching predicates (*P*₁, *P*₂, ..., *P*_n) on incoming events
- Expressiveness: provide detection of event sequences and aggregation
 - e.g. value of cumulative purchases above the average for that monthWe do not aim to solve general purpose data analysis computations

- Matched events dispatched to appropriate VMs in partitioning layer
- Inverted index created over predicates to speed up event matching
 - Predicates composed from language for efficient indexing
 - Predicates indexed according to matched attributes, operator and value
 - Techniques from publish/subscribe literature can be reused
- By filtering first, the volume of events in the next layer can be reduced

Partitioning layer

- Event Processing Machines (EPMs) perform event processing
- EPMs implemented as non-deterministic FSAs
 - EPMs composed of detection / aggregation states
 - Each EPM instance contains state S derived by events matched so far
 - States linked by edge predicates (computed in P/S layer)
- When matched events dispatched to EPM
 - EPM makes transition to new state (or might be discarded)
 - Transition might generate new EPM instances (non-determinism)
 - Aggregation function incorporates the new event in S
 - When an accepting state is reached, state S is delivered to the application

- Elasticity: the system must be able to adapt to varying event rates
 - Could be through controlled decision: e.g. cost considerations
 - Might be through unforeseeable workload variations
- Fault tolerance: the system must be able to handle failures in VMs
 - Still must maintain throughput and/or latency bounds



- Which applications best match the EPM model's expressiveness?
- How do extensions to the EPM model impact upon the architecture?
- How can persistence be best integrated into the SEEP architecture?
- Test large-scale, distributed deployment of the system
- Develop an open software platform for hosting SEEP applications